

Focus Words

disclaimer | prescribe | potential | assume | rely



Weekly Passage

In 1997, the law was changed to allow drug companies to advertise prescription drugs on television. Each year since there have been more of these ads. Television ads are required to provide <u>disclaimers</u> about the risks of each drug. Typically, such disclaimers are stated very quickly while good-looking actors distract the viewers. In 1991, drug companies spent \$55 million on advertising. By 2003, they were spending \$3 billion. The average number of prescriptions per person in the United States has also increased. In 1992, that average was 7.3. By 2000, it was 10.4.

Prescription drug advertisements have the <u>potential</u> to be helpful. They often provide useful information. They can lead people to talk to their doctors instead of ignoring a health problem. Yet this advertising also causes some problems. Many people who go to the doctor <u>assume</u> they need a certain drug that might not be

right for them. Doctors can feel pressured to prescribe the medication that the patients ask for. This might mean that the patient takes an expensive drug when there are less costly alternatives available. Advertisements might also lead people to think that there is a pill that will solve any problem. This could make them rely on drugs instead of preventing health risks with good diets and regular exercise. Also, they might not know about the risks of taking the medication they see on television. There is not enough time in a typical disclaimer to tell consumers about all of the possible side effects of a drug. The United States is one of only two countries in the world that allow drug companies to advertise directly to consumers

Do prescription drug advertisements help people more than they hurt? Or should drug companies be restricted from advertising on television?

Unit 2.18 - Should drug companies be allowed to advertise prescription drugs on television?	Notes					
	Examples of Use					
	Forms					
	Meaning	(n.) - denial of responsibility	(v.) - to order a medicine or treatment	(v.) - to depend on	(v.) - to decide without much evidence	(n.) - possibility
Unit 2.18 - Shouadvertise presci	Word	disclaimer	prescribe	rely	assume	potential

Unit 2.18 Should drug companies be allowed to advertise prescription



Problem of the Week

drugs on television?

Are **prescription** drug advertisements dangerous? Consumers who **rely** on commercials for information about drugs may not get the whole story. These people may not understand the **disclaimers** that talk about side effects. They may ask their doctor to **prescribe** a **potentially** dangerous drug. Drug companies, however, **assume** that they have a right to market their products.

Option 1: In 2006, Americans spent \$216 billion on **prescription** drugs. This amount is about 10% of the country's total health care costs. Given this information, what are the country's total health care costs?

- A) \$2,160 million
- B) \$21.6 billion
- C) \$216 trillion
- D) \$2.16 trillion

Option 2: One study said that for every \$1 a company spends on consumer advertising, it will have an increase of \$2.20 in sales. In 2006, drug companies spent \$4.8 billion on advertising. If the study is correct, the \$4.8 billion spent on advertising should have caused an increase of how many dollars in sales?

- A) \$7 billion
- B) \$8.4 billion
- C) \$10.56 billion
- D) \$10.8 billion

Discussion Question: In 2006, drug companies spent \$4.8 billion on consumer **prescription** drug ads. In that same year, drug companies spent \$7.2 billion marketing drugs to doctors. If companies spend billions of dollars trying to convince doctors to use their products, can we **rely** on a doctor's advice? Or does advertising have the **potential** to affect a doctor's judgment? Can we **assume** that doctors' decisions are not changed by advertising? Why or why not? Should we demand a new kind of **disclaimer** in the doctor's office telling us which products have been advertised to our doctor?

Should drug companies be allowed to advertise prescription drugs on television?



I. Get ready...

Pick one of these positions (or create your own).

Drug companies should be able to advertise on television. Advertisements let the public know what kind of medications are available.

Drug companies should not be able to advertise on television. People might not fully understand appropriate uses and possible side effects.

Drug companies could advertise as long as they give a complete description of what the medication can and cannot do.

Drug companies should not be allowed to advertise because advertising increases the costs of medication.

E —

2. Get set...

Be ready to provide evidence to back up your position during your class discussion or debate. Jot down a few quick notes: GO!

Be a strong participant by using phrases like these.


I disagree with part of that...

An example might help convince me. Can you give me an example?

.because...

What part of the passage makes you think that?

Unit 2.18 Should drug companies be allowed to advertise prescription drugs on television? Science Activity



Professor Kahn's class is debating prescription drug ads.

"TV drug ads are dangerous," says Michaela. "Even if **disclaimers** talk about **potential** side effects, people may not understand the risks. They may ask their doctors to **prescribe** unnecessary drugs."

"You're **assuming** that people can't think for themselves," says Destiny. "I think it's good for drug companies to advertise. Otherwise, people have to **rely** on their doctors to tell them what drugs are out there."

"Will people really try a new drug just because of an ad?" wonders Lawrence.

"Good question!" says Professor Kahn. "A new ad for a weight loss drug airs tomorrow. Let's collect some data!"

Question:

How do prescription drug ads influence behavior?

Hypothesis:

After a new TV ad for a weight loss drug airs, the number of people who hold prescriptions for weight loss drugs will increase.

Materials:

- ▶ 100 people
- A new TV ad for a weight loss drug

Procedure:

- 1. Before the TV ad starts to play, record the number of subjects who hold prescriptions for weight loss drugs.
- 2. Begin to play the weight loss drug ad on TV.
- 3. Six months later, record the number of subjects who hold prescriptions for weight loss drugs.


Data:	Before New TV Ad	After New TV Ad	
Number of People Who Hold Prescriptions for Weight Loss Drugs	4	7	

Conclusion:

Is the hypothesis supported or not by the data?

What evidence supports your conclusion?

How would you make this a better experiment?



allowed to advertise prescription drugs on television? Focus Words	Remember you can use focus words from any of the WG Units. Check off what you accomplished: Good Start		
disclaimer prescribe potential assume rely Support your position with clear reasons and specific examples. Try to use relevant words from the Word Generation	☐ Stated my own position ☐ Included 1 focus word		
list in your response.	Pretty Good		
	☐ Stated my own position clearly ☐ Included 1-2 arguments ☐ Included 1-2 focus words		
	Exemplary		
	☐ Stated my own position clearly ☐ Included 1-2 arguments ☐ Included 1 counterargument ☐ Used 2-5 focus words		

A tool to help you think about your

own writing!
